

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/462,381	DI SILVESTRO ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Katarzyna Wyrozebski	1714

**All Participants:**

(1) Katarzyna Wyrozebski.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Martin Bruehs.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 25 June 2004

**Time:** 1400

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

18

Prior art documents discussed:


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant's representative authorized examiner's amendment that corrected a typo in line 3 claim 18. The examiner also retyped the abstract on a separate sheet of paper*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)